

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ARMAND CALHOUN, et al.,

CASE NO. C21-1613JLR

Plaintiffs,

ORDER

V.

BANK OF AMERICA NA,

Defendant.

Before the court are Plaintiffs Armand Calhoun and Robert Flores's (collectively,

“Plaintiffs”) petitions for disbursement of funds. (Pet. (Dkt. # 6).) The court has

reviewed Plaintiffs' petitions, the balance of the record, and the applicable law. Being

fully advised, the court DENIES Plaintiffs' petitions.

Plaintiffs request that the court direct the Clerk

try of this court.” (See *id.*) Plaintiffs seek disbursement of \$630,000,000 in the

⁶ See, e.g., *State v. Ladd*, 100 A.2d 100 (Md. 1954); *State v. McElroy*, 100 A.2d 100 (Md. 1954); *State v. T. C. Williams*, 100 A.2d 100 (Md. 1954).

¹² See *Supplementary Note* to the Report of the Royal Commission on the Canadian Constitution, 1969, at 67, (“[T]he Bill of Rights is not intended to be a Bill of Rights in the sense of a Bill of Rights in the United States.”).

and Q.C. (See *iii.*) Pursuant to Local Rule 67, [a]n deposit into the Registry of the

[c]ourt must be accompanied by a court order permitting the deposit.” Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 67. Here, there has been no such order nor have any funds been deposited in the court’s registry in relation to this matter. (*See generally* Dkt.) Thus, there are no funds for the Clerk to disburse to Plaintiffs.¹

Accordingly, the court DENIES Plaintiffs' petitions to disburse funds (Dkt. # 6). Furthermore, the court warns Plaintiffs that this action may be dismissed if they fail to correct the deficiencies with their IFP applications by January 3, 2022. (See IFP Deficiency Letter.)

Dated this 17th day of December, 2021.



JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge

¹ Moreover, this action has not yet commenced due to Mr. Calhoun’s failure to cure the deficiencies in his *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) application and Mr. Flores’s failure to file an IFP application. (See IFP Deficiency Letter (Dkt. # 3); *see also* IFP Application (Dkt. # 1); Dkt.) Because these IFP deficiencies have not yet been cured, Plaintiffs’ proposed complaint has not yet been filed with the court. (See generally Dkt.; Proposed Compl. (Dkt. # 1-1).)